SEASIDE BASIN WATERMASTER
REQUEST FOR SERVICE

DATE: 2/8/2018

RFS NO. 2018-03
(To be filled in by WATERMASTER)

TO: Derrik Williams
HydroMetrics WRI
PROFESSIONAL

FROM: Robert Jaques
WATERMASTER

Services Needed and Purpose: Update and recalibrate the Seaside Basin Groundwater Model. This work will be comprised of Task 1 (including Subtasks 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3) as described in the Scope of Work in Attachment 1.

Completion Date: All work of this RFS shall be completed not later than July 31, 2018, and shall be performed in accordance with the Schedule described in Attachment 1.

Method of Compensation: Time and Materials (As defined in Section V of Agreement.)

Total Price Authorized by this RFS: $54,370.00 (Cost is authorized only when evidenced by signature below.) (See Table 1 in Attachment 1 for Detailed Breakdown of Estimated Costs for Task 1).

Total Price may not be exceeded without prior written authorization by WATERMASTER in accordance with Section V. COMPENSATION.

Requested by: Robert Jaques
WATERMASTER Technical Program Manager
Date: 2/14/08

Agreed to by: Derrik Williams
PROFESSIONAL
Date: Feb 14, 2018.
ATTACHMENT 1
Mr. Robert S. Jaques  
Seaside Groundwater Basin Watermaster  
83 Via Encanto  
Monterey, CA 93940  

August 4, 2017  

Subject: Revised Scope and Cost to Update the Seaside Basin Management Action Plan  

Mr. Jaques:  

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with this scope and cost to update the Seaside Groundwater Basin’s Basin Management Action Plan (BMAP). The scope we have put together addresses the BMAP items that were presented at the February 2017 Technical Advisory Committee meeting, and includes some of the recommendations made by Gus Yates of Todd Groundwater.  

The Watermaster’s first BMAP was completed in February 2009 (HydroMetrics LLC, 2009a). The BMAP constitutes the basic plan for managing the Seaside Groundwater Basin. The BMAP identifies both short-term actions and long-term strategies intended to protect the groundwater resource while maximizing the beneficial use of groundwater in the basin. It provides the Watermaster a logical set of actions that can be undertaken to manage the basin to its Safe Yield. Over the eight years since the BMAP was completed, the Watermaster has collected much groundwater level and quality data, and conducted various studies to improve the understanding of the basin. This improved understanding should be incorporated into an updated BMAP to facilitate ongoing responsible management of the groundwater resource.  

At the time the 2009 BMAP was prepared, a groundwater model had not yet been developed for the basin, and the analysis contained in the BMAP was completed using analytical methods. Following the BMAP recommendation that a groundwater model be
constructed to assist with groundwater management decisions, a calibrated model was completed in November 2009 (HydroMetrics LLC, 2009b). The model simulated groundwater conditions in the basin between January 1987 and December 2008. In 2014, the model was updated with data through September 2013 (HydroMetrics WRI, 2014) but not recalibrated because its accuracy was still acceptable. The 2014 update found that the uncalibrated portion of the model (January 2009 - September 2013) tended to simulate higher groundwater levels than measured levels. Periodic recalibration of the model is necessary to ensure the model simulates groundwater levels within an acceptable industry standard accuracy. If simulated groundwater levels are not accurate this reduces the accuracy of all output from the model such as groundwater storage and water budget.

The scope of work provided below assumes the model will be used to develop estimates of groundwater storage, water budget, and safe yield; and to test impacts of potential management actions. The groundwater model was developed to assist in making basin management decisions, and for providing the simulated results that are required for analysis in the BMAP. As the model currently only includes input data through September 2013, groundwater storage, water budget, and safe yield estimates can only reliably be obtained from the model up through Water Year 2013. The model needs to be updated through Water Year 2016 to be used for current estimates. It is likely recalibration of the model will be required so that it more accurately simulates the historic low groundwater levels currently occurring in the basin.

The scope outlined below starts with an update and recalibration of the groundwater model, and then generally updates each of the main sections of the BMAP.


Subtask 1.1. Update Model Input Data.

Groundwater production, groundwater levels, injected water, and precipitation data will be sourced and compiled for input into the groundwater model. In addition to precipitation, estimates of storm water percolation, septic tank leakage, and system losses are also needed as they all contribute to the recharge of the basin. Most data are already available from MPWMD or Watermaster, but some other pumpers such as Cal Water Service and Marina Coast Water District, which do not fall under the Watermaster will be contacted for their data.

The updated model input data will be incorporated into the groundwater model. Once the model has been updated and is successfully running, hydrographs comparing measured and simulated groundwater levels will be prepared. The hydrographs produced will be the same ones used in the 2009 model report.
Subtask 1.2. Model Recalibration.
Model calibration is a process that involves varying relatively uncertain and sensitive parameters such as horizontal and vertical hydraulic conductivities, over a reasonable range of values. Per Mr. Yates's recommendation, we will jointly calibrate recharge and aquifer parameters. This is a change from our previous calibration approach of only calibrating aquifer parameters. Calibration will be completed when simulated results match the measured data within an acceptable measure of accuracy, and when successive calibration attempts do not notably improve the calibration statistics. Parameter Estimation (PEST) software will be used as a tool to improve calibration.

Estimating the effort involved in model calibration is difficult because there is no defined set of steps that can be followed. The costs provided with this scope reflect our best estimate, but additional costs may be necessary to complete calibration successfully.

Subtask 1.3. Model Update Technical Memorandum.
A Draft Technical Memorandum will be prepared documenting the model update and calibration results. After presenting the Tech Memo to the TAC and receiving comments, a Final Tech Memo will be prepared for submission to the Board. For purposes of the cost estimate, we have assumed HydroMetrics WRI will present the findings to the TAC and to the Board. One presentation will be in-person and one will be by telephone.

Task 2: Update BMAP Section 2 - State of the Seaside Groundwater Basin.

Subtask 2.1. Update Basin Conceptual Model. Since the 2009 BMAP was completed, a significant amount of modeling has been undertaken that has assisted in improving our hydrogeologic understanding of the basin. Additionally, a few new wells have been drilled that may improve our understanding of basin geometry. Below is a list of recent developments that will be used to update our conceptual understanding of the basin:

- Modeling work we completed related to the locations of flow divides in the eastern part of the Laguna Seca subarea and how pumping outside of the basin affects groundwater within the basin.
- The concept of the Laguna Seca Anticline as only a partial barrier to groundwater flow is relatively recent. We will present data and implications related to that reconceptualization.
- New wells, such as the Pure Water Monterey ASR wells and the MPWMD ASR wells, may provide new data related to aquifer depths and bottom of the basin that could improve the conceptual understanding of the basin.
- Groundwater levels collected over the past eight years may provide an undated definition of the basin's northeastern flow-divide boundary.
Subtask 2.2. Analyze Groundwater Levels Trends. Since 2009, eight years of groundwater level data have been collected, some of it using data loggers that record groundwater levels multiple times a day. This has allowed us to vastly improve our understanding of both seasonal and long-term trends. The basin has also experienced a recent drought and Court-mandated pumping reductions. How groundwater levels have responded to these changes has also improved our understanding of the basin. Furthermore, protective groundwater elevations developed after the 2009 BMAP should be included and discussed in an updated BMAP.

Subtask 2.3. Update Estimates of Groundwater Storage. The updated BMAP will include updates of estimated total stored groundwater, usable storage space, and total useable storage space. The Watermaster is required under the Decision to recalculate Total Usable Storage Space and adjust the allocation as needed.

The groundwater model and protective groundwater elevations should be used to quantify these storage estimates for the Seaside Basin. The 2009 BMAP did not have the benefit of site specific protective elevations and thus used Ghyben-Herzberg generated elevations. This updated BMAP will instead use protective elevations developed using groundwater models that estimate onshore groundwater elevations that keeps the productive onshore aquifers fresh (Hydrometrics LLC, 2009b).

Subtask 2.4. Update Groundwater Budget. A long-term and current groundwater budget will be developed to enhance our understanding of the groundwater system, and how the basin has responded during the recent drought. Similar to Subtask 2.3, the groundwater budget can be readily generated from groundwater model output. However, the groundwater model needs to be updated through September 2016 and recalibrated for it be used reliably to evaluate the current and historical water budget.

Subtask 2.5. Review Natural Safe Yield Estimates. The State of California has experienced a recent drought which has impacted natural aquifer recharge more than was anticipated in the 2009 BMAP. Also, even though pumping in recent years has been below the amounts required under the Decision, groundwater levels have continued to fall. This suggests that the Natural Safe Yield of 3,000 AFY in the Decision may be too high.

The reevaluated Safe Yield will be compared against other Safe Yield estimates that were included in the 2009 BMAP. If appropriate, a revised Safe Yield to replace the Decision-established Natural Safe Yield of 3,000 AFY will be provided for basin management purposes.
Task 3: Update Section 3 – Supplemental Water Supplies.
This section will be primarily completed by Watermaster staff, and will be edited and integrated into the BMAP update by HydroMetrics WRI. Watermaster staff will update the old BMAP Section 3 with current information on projects being considered to meet the long-term water needs in the Seaside Basin. Included will be MRWPCA’s Pure Water Monterey groundwater replenishment project and Cal Am’s Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project (MPWSP). Recent Environmental Impact Reports will be used to update the information. If any other projects are in early planning stage, they will also be included in the update.

In the revised cost estimate (Table 1), the number of hours has been reduced from our previous cost estimate in March to reflect that Watermaster staff will be responsible for the majority of this task.

Task 4: Update Section 4 – Groundwater Management Actions.
This section will be updated to reflect actions and interim water supplies that have already been implemented, eliminate actions that are no longer viable, and add potential future actions and interim water supplies that could be implemented to address basin imbalances in the short-term before the long-term supply projects in Section 3 of the BMAP can be permitted, built and operated.

An example of a local management action would be to identify optimal extraction well locations such that those wells can make more efficient use of useable stored groundwater. The groundwater model is the most appropriate tool for this as it is able to simulate cumulative impacts by taking into account long-term projects and any other short-term projects while optimizing well locations.

It is beyond the scope of the BMAP update to prepare preliminary costs for potential future actions and interim water supplies. However, as cost is an important factor in deciding which actions to pursue, the Watermaster may need to engage a financial expert to provide preliminary cost estimates for those actions that do not already have cost estimates associated with them.

Task 5: Update Section 5 – Recommended Management Strategies.
After developing the groundwater management actions, we will present the results to the TAC with the purpose of soliciting input that will allow each action to be ranked in order of preference. The top actions will become recommended management strategies that the Watermaster should consider going forward.
Task 6: Prepare Draft, Final Draft and Final Updated BMAP.
A Draft Updated BMAP will be prepared that follows the format of the 2009 BMAP. After the TAC has reviewed the Draft Updated BMAP, comments received will be incorporated into a Final Draft Updated BMAP that will be presented to the Board. If comments are received from the Board, these will be included in a Final Updated BMAP. Up to 15 bound hardcopies will be provided to the Watermaster. We assume that HydroMetrics WRI will attend one TAC and one Board meeting in person to present the Updated BMAP.

Estimated Budget
The total cost to update and recalibrate the groundwater model through September 2016, and to update the BMAP is provided in Table 1.

Schedule
We expect it will take two months to update and recalibrate the groundwater model. An updated BMAP draft can be completed in approximately six weeks after the model update.

References


Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Georgina King
Principal Hydrogeologist
HydroMetrics Water Resources Inc.
### Table 1: Cost Estimate for Basin Management Action Plan Update

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>HydroMetrics WRI Labor</th>
<th>Other Direct Costs</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Derrick Williams</td>
<td>Georgina King</td>
<td>Hanlie Haenl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Principal Hydrogeologist</td>
<td>Hydrogeologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Update Groundwater Model &amp; Recalibrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask 1.1 Update Model Input Data</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask 1.2 Model Recalibration</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask 1.3 Model Update and Recalibration Technical Memorandum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Task 1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2: Update BMAP Section 2 - State of the Seaside Groundwater Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask 2.1 Update Basin Conceptual Model</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask 2.2 Analyze Groundwater Levels Trends</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask 2.3 Update Estimation of Groundwater Storage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask 2.4 Update Groundwater Budget</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtask 2.5 Review of Natural Safe Yield Estimation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Task 2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3: Update BMAP Section 3 - Supplemental Water Supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.1 Update BMAP Section 4 - Groundwater Management Actions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.2 Update BMAP Section 5 - Recommended Management Strategies</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.3 Prepare Draft: Final Draft and Final BMAP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL for GROUNDWATER MODEL UPDATE</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL for BMAP UPDATE</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Other direct costs include travel expenses, office supplies, postage, and equipment rental.

---
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